

**SUFFERING INFERTILITY:  
THE IMPACT OF INFERTILITY ON WOMEN'S LIFE EXPERIENCES  
IN TWO NIGERIAN COMMUNITIES**

**Ulla Larsen  
Ibis Reproductive Health  
Cambridge, Massachusetts**

**Marida Hollos  
Brown University  
Department of Anthropology  
Providence, R. I.**

**Bruce Whitehouse  
Lehigh University  
Department of Anthropology  
Bethlehem, PA**

Corresponding author: Ulla Larsen, 17 Dunster St, Cambridge, MA 02138.  
ularsen@ibisreproductivehealth.org

**Abstract**

This paper examines the experiences of women with infertility in two Nigerian communities with different systems of descent and historically different levels of infertility. First, we focus on the life experiences of individual women across the two communities and second, we compare these experiences to those of their fertile counterparts, in each community. In doing this, we distinguish between women who are childless and those with subfertility and compare them to high fertility women. The research is based on interdisciplinary research conducted among the Ijo and Yakurr people of southern Nigeria that included a survey of approximately 100 childless and subfertile women and a matching sample of 100 fertile women as well as in-depth ethnographic interviews with childless and subfertile women in two communities: Amakiri in Delta State and Lupon in Cross River State. The findings indicate that while there are variations in the extent to which childlessness is considered to be problematic, the necessity for a woman to have a child remains basic in this region.

## **Introduction**

There is increasing recognition in the social science literature that infertility is a devastating problem for women, particularly in the high fertility context of sub-Saharan Africa (e.g., Feldman-Savelsberg 1999, Boerma and Mgalla 2001, Inhorn and Van Balen 2002, Hollos and Larsen 2008). Regardless of the medical causes of infertility, women in most African societies suffer grief, social stigma, ostracism and often serious economic deprivation. In our previous article (Hollos et al. 2009), we demonstrated that these hardships vary across different cultural contexts, given that institutional settings influence the meanings and consequences of the condition. In that paper we focused on these settings in two southern Nigerian communities and documented a number of particularly salient differences between the two communities in their impact on community responses to infertile women. The communities are Amakiri (pseudonym), an Ijo community in Delta State, and LoPON (pseudonym), a Yakurr community in Cross River State. The major difference between these localities is that descent in Amakiri is patrilineal, traced through the father's side, whereas in LoPON it is double unilineal, traced through both parents' sides. In addition, high levels of infertility are historically documented in LoPON (Forde 1964, Obono 2001), whereas infertility levels in Amakiri are relatively low (Hollos and Larsen 1992). Our findings indicated that based on these differences, responses to infertility were considerably more negative in Amakiri than in LoPON.

In the current paper, first, we focus on the experiences of individual women with infertility, derived from in-depth life history interviews in each community and second, using survey data, we compare these life experiences to those of their fertile counterparts. Specifically, we document how the differences in the lineage structure in the two

communities impact on the childless and subfertile women's experiences in their marital and interpersonal relations and socioeconomic activities. In doing this, we distinguish between women who are childless and those with sub-fertility and compare them to high fertility women. We hypothesize that the experience of women who are childless or have sub-fertility in Lupon will be less negative than of those in Amakiri, given the differences in the institutional settings and the historically evolved symbolic meaning of the infertile condition.

## **Background**

### *Research on infertility in sub-Saharan Africa*

Our research builds on the work of demographers and anthropologists who have shown how social and economic contexts influence local meanings of fertility and infertility. Much of this literature is situated in the broader anthropological discourse on reproduction (e.g. Ginsburg and Rapp 1995). There is ample documentation that the social consequences of infertility are borne primarily by women, especially in sub-Saharan Africa. As Inhorn (1994, 1996) has shown for Egypt, in most of these cultures women receive the major blame for reproductive mishaps. For example, Feldman-Savelsberg (1999) reports that in Cameroon infertility is grounds for divorce among the Bangangte, causing a woman to lose her access to her husband's land. Infertile women are treated as outcasts and their bodies are buried on the outskirts of the town among the Ekiti Yoruba of Nigeria (Ademola 1982) and among the Aowin of Ghana (Ebin 1982). Cornwall (2001:145) refers to a survey in Nigeria where respondents generally agreed that "a woman who has not given birth to a child may as well never have been born."

Johnson-Hanks (2006:81) has shown that even among highly educated Beti women in the Cameroon, “being respected is associated with achievement, particularly monetary, marital and reproductive achievement,” and honor and respectability are so conflated with fertility that women who have not borne a child by age twenty are routinely brought to ritual specialists for infertility treatments, regardless of whether they have been intentionally managing their fertility to avoid pregnancy (Johnson-Hanks 2006:249). Similarly, fertility has been shown to be important in the progression of women through life stages and thus in shaping their identities as mature persons. A childless woman among the Tswana in Botswana (Suggs 1993), for example, cannot attain full adult womanhood. Our previous findings (Hollos et al. 2009) similarly indicate that Ijo women who have not given birth cannot achieve the mature life stage of *erera*, nor can they participate in women’s associations or family meetings and are more likely than their fertile counterparts to migrate out of the community.

Social mechanisms can alleviate some of these problems and to help women deal with infertility. These include voluntary associations and cults that support women with infertility problems. An example of this is the *Kanyaleng kafo* in Gambia, an association and a set of rituals specifically formed for this purpose (Skramstad 1997). A similar mechanism is participation in a spirit possession cult in Kigoma, Tanzania which brings together urban women of different origins in their search for fertility (McCurdy 2000). Our research among the Yakurr has shown that the *kekonakona* society, whose explicit mission is to help members conceive through supernatural means, also acts as a support group for infertile women and provides an avenue for participation in community life. Members have benefited from a highly visible presence at town events, including the

annual first fruits festival during which members receive a blessing from the town's paramount chief (Hollos et al. 2009:5).

Across the continent of Africa, individual infertile women also respond to their conditions through a number of strategies, both in "traditional" and biomedical arenas, and through their kinship networks (Green 1994, Gerrits 1997, Kielman 1998, Sundby and Jacobus 2001). While women suffer the greatest consequences of infertility, they are far from passive victims of "ascribed gender and reproductive regimes and institutionalized reproductive policies" (Kielman 1998:129). Recent scholarship recognizes that women actively use resources at their disposal and devise strategies not only to challenge but also to alter oppressive systems (Greenhalgh 1995:31). Much current work in anthropological demography analyzes women's everyday discourses and practices as bargaining and strategizing tactics (Bledsoe 1990, Bledsoe et al. 1994, Kielman 1998, Upton 1999), directed both at bodily practices and outcomes and at the redefinition of the social situation in which they find themselves. Women's agency is also apparent in their quest for solutions to infertility, and is particularly important in contexts where there are no institutional supports available and where the community definition of infertility is highly negative.

### *Defining infertility*

In Western biomedicine the clinical definition of infertility is the absence of conception after twelve months of regular unprotected intercourse (Collins et al. 1983). The World Health Organization recommends twenty-four months of unprotected intercourse as the preferred definition of the condition (Rowe et al. 1993). There is usually a distinction made between "primary" and "secondary" infertility. The former

denotes the infertility of women who have never conceived and the latter that of infertile women who have conceived at least once.

In our work in Nigeria, we began with the medical definition of infertility and with an assumption of a major difference between “primary” and “secondary” infertility, presuming that the latter would present much less of a problem for women in both communities. We soon found that these definitions were not completely applicable and have consequently decided to follow more locally appropriate conceptualizations of childlessness and sub-fertility. By these conceptualizations, “childless women” are those who have never borne a child and subfertile women are those who are seen to have borne too few children. As the experiences of our subjects show, while a childless woman is more disadvantaged than a subfertile woman in many contexts, these distinctions are not absolute and a woman with “not enough children” can also face serious social and economic problems both in her younger and in her older years.

## **Research settings and methodology**

### *Settings*

The research was conducted in two communities in southern Nigeria, in Amakiri, an Ijo community and in Lupon, a Yakurr community.

### *Amakiri*

Amakiri is located on the western bank of the Forcados branch of the Niger River. Its population (based on a 2005 household survey) is approximately 7,000; its seven quarters

are patrilineal descent groups comprising segments of the clan to which all Amakiri Ijo, as well as Ijo from surrounding villages, belong.

Amakiri residents live patrilocally, i.e., with the husband's male relatives. Inheritance is patrilineal for all immovable property, including building plots within the quarters, rights to farmland and fishing sites. Other rights inherited patrilineally include membership in the family council, the right to serve the paternal ancestors and the responsibility to marry widows.

Amakiri's economic base is horticulture, with a number of secondary occupations. The two primary economic activities, farming and fishing, are done almost exclusively by women. Most are also involved in marketing and trading. The few women not engaged in primary occupations work as seamstresses, shopkeepers or schoolteachers. Men hold most secondary and tertiary occupations. Because of relatively low cash intakes by males for daily needs, the household is largely dependent on women's activities. The labor contribution of children of all ages is considerable.

### *Lopon*

Lopon is a local government headquarters with a population of about 120,000. The town is composed of five semi-autonomous divisions, which are the residential territories of patrilineal groups. Political organization within these areas follows patrilineal principles but, within the town as a whole, political authority resides with priests of fertility spirits representing 23 independent matrilineal clans. At the head of this theocratic council is a paramount chief with jurisdiction over the entire town.



Like other Yakurr, residents of Lopon are a double unilineal people: they reckon descent through the matrilineal line for some purposes (e.g., ritual observance, marriage payments and the inheritance of transferable wealth) and patrilineally for others (e.g., the use of land and houses and the provision of cooperative labor). Full siblings normally belong to the same patrilineage and matrilineage, while fathers belong to the same patrilineage but different matrilineage as their children, and mothers belong to the same matrilineage but different patrilineages as their children.

While agriculture remains the main economic activity of its inhabitants and access to land is still determined by rules of kinship, Lopon has emerged as an important site in north-south distribution networks for perishable cash crops. Women perform most agricultural tasks as well as much of the town's trading.

### *Methods*

This paper is based on extensive ethnographic and demographic research in both communities by the authors and associates (Hollos and Leis 1983, Obono 2001, 2004). In addition, between 2005 and 2007, we applied a combination of qualitative and quantitative methods focused specifically on the issue of infertility. We enumerated all households in all Amakiri's seven quarters and in one of Lopon's five divisions during the summers of 2005 and 2006, respectively. Seven of this division's 14 adjacent residential clusters were selected for enumeration. Enumeration in both communities entailed listing all households and their adult members, including the household head, his co-resident brother(s), if any, and their current wives as well as the wives' fertility history, in order to identify infertile women. We considered a household to consist of

those individuals who regularly sleep in the same compound structure. A total of 966 households were registered in Amakiri, and 812 in Lophon. This enumeration was intended to serve as a sampling frame for the surveys, having identified the infertile women. When we conducted the surveys in 2007, however, we found that a large number of fertile women registered as infertile, apparently believing infertile status would result in financial advantage from the survey. Consequently, the final sample for the surveys was selected by snowball sampling.

All the women studied were over age 30 years at survey date to assure that they had been in a steady sexual relationship for an extended period. In these communities, all women engage in sexual relationships, sexual debut is typically in the teenage years and many women are in multiple unions (either simultaneously or sequentially) throughout their adult lives. It is difficult to measure sexual exposure, e.g., dates of entry into and exit out of a union are not known or not reported. A woman who had never had a child was defined as childless, a woman who had had one or two children as subfertile and a woman who had had five or more children as fertile. The survey interviews were conducted by local teachers and elders, all of whom were known and respected in the communities and who knew the respondents and their life circumstances.

In-depth interviews with a sub-sample of approximately 25 childless and subfertile and 25 fertile women were conducted in each community in the summers of 2005 and 2006.

The survey instrument, administered in 2007, was constructed using information gained through in-depth life history interviews. In the surveys women were asked about their age, parity and how long they had been trying to have a child. We also collected

information about marital history, contraceptive use, socio-economic characteristics, circumcision and participation in initiation. Women were asked about disadvantages of infertility in the community, including inability to participate in certain activities, as well as of possible alternative activities, including caring for non-biological children.

In Amakiri, we aimed to interview all childless and subfertile women, and similar numbers were chosen in Lophon. The samples of childless and subfertile women were matched with samples of fertile women by ward of residence and by age (within a two-year age range). It should be noted that all the childless and subfertile women reported at survey date that they would like to have more children, suggesting that infertility is a concern. The ages must be considered approximate, however, given that chronological age is often not known in these communities. The comparisons between childless, subfertile and fertile women were done using a nested case-control design to reduce the required survey sample sizes. In general, one case (childless or subfertile) was matched to one or two controls (fertile). Marital, interpersonal and socio-economic characteristics were determined for childless and fertile women, as well as for subfertile and fertile women, and significant differences between the two groups were determined using a  $\chi^2$  test. Subsequently, univariate and multivariate conditional logistic regressions were used to estimate odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) of childlessness versus fertility by background characteristics. Similar analyses were done for subfertile compared to fertile women. In Amakiri and in Lophon three multivariate models were calculated for childlessness and for sub-fertility, including marriage, interpersonal and socio-economic variables, respectively. Variables that were significant at the 0.20 level or higher in the univariate models were included in the multivariate analysis.

This study was approved by the Brown University Institutional Review Board and by the Ethics Committee of the University of Ibadan, Nigeria.

## **Results**

### *Life history interviews*

This section presents findings derived from the life-history interviews with childless and subfertile women, first in Amakiri and then in Lapon. The narrative form of life histories provided a framework that made it possible for women to discuss intimate problems and enabled us to retrieve information they possessed but may not have been able to articulate explicitly. We considered this approach particularly appropriate for understanding infertility's impact on individuals and their attempts to cope with the situation since it allows individuals to give their own analytic accounts of their experiences.

For the analysis, the interview texts have been thematically coded following a bottom-up approach (Straus 1987). During this phase of the analysis, respondents' answers were re-grouped across individuals in categories, reflecting issues related to infertility. Following this approach, a number of particularly salient areas emerged in the lives of these women, including marriage, divorce, attainment of womanhood, employment and migration, help with work, fostering and old age support. These areas, with the exception of old age support, were subsequently statistically analyzed in the survey data. Old age support was excluded since the number of childless older women was too small.

### *Amakiri women's experiences*

#### *Marital relations and divorce*

The vast majority of the interview subjects were married according to Ijo custom, a long, elaborate process consisting of a number of small cash payments and libations by the groom's family to the bride's. As time passed and no pregnancy followed, relations with the husband and his relatives became strained. This is true particularly for mothers-in-law whose eager expectation for children soon turned to scorn and ostracism. Husbands' attitudes also changed over time and many of them became abusive or barely tolerant of the childless wife. The consequence of difficult marital relations, with very few exceptions, was divorce or permanent separation. The relationship histories of childless and subfertile women alike consist of frequent separations and remarriages. These occur either because the woman facing the husband's mistreatment, the family's ostracism and the co-wives' taunts finds her situation unbearable and leaves, or because the husband sends her away as "useless." This pattern seems to be equally true for both childless and subfertile women, since women who produced only one or two children were soon exposed to similar ostracism.

#### *Attaining womanhood*

The Ijo recognize a number of named life stages in the life cycle. Individuals advance from one stage to another according to a combination of physiological and mental development and certain additional criteria, depending on the particular stage. Young women enter the stage of *ereso* around the age of 13, usually marked by the onset of their menses. Their progression to the next stage of *erera* is dependent on a number of criteria, including being married and having given birth to a child. Before this first child

is born, until recently a clitoridectomy was performed usually in the seventh month of pregnancy. Women circumcised each year also used to perform a special dance, known as the *seigbein*, during the town's annual spring festival marking their entry into the *erera* stage. Circumcision was considered so important in this process that a number of women had the procedure performed early, either anticipating eventual pregnancies or pretending to be pregnant. Several childless women claimed that their "belly went up" and so they rushed to be circumcised, only to discover subsequently that it was a false pregnancy.

Today, many women no longer participate in the dance which is only performed occasionally, and young women often do not practice circumcision. While the connection between circumcision and childbearing is thus severed, childbearing is still important in attaining full womanhood. Childless women cannot attend women's association meetings, reserved for *erera*. This severely disadvantages childless women who thus remain in limbo between the two stages. They are eventually too old to be *ereso* but cannot be considered *erera*, given that they did not fulfill the major criterion of mature womanhood, giving birth to a child.

This is an area where women with one or two children do have advantages over childless women. Even one child gives them entry into the *erera* stage. Similarly, childless women who gave birth at least once, even if the child died, have the same advantage.

### *Migration*

Since childless women are in limbo in Ijo society—they cannot join age-appropriate women's associations and their marriages end in divorce—they inevitably leave the community, most frequently to become petty traders in large urban centers. Trading is done primarily to accumulate funds to finance often costly infertility

treatments. Migrating out is practiced to a lesser extent by subfertile women, who often leave the community although with a different goal in mind. Because of this tendency to migrate, most younger childless women are absent from the community and it is from the stories of the older women who have returned that these life paths can be revealed.

Another reason for leaving the community is for further education. This, we found, was one of the major reasons for subfertile women to migrate. Several of the younger childless as well as the subfertile women attended Teachers' College in nearby Bomadi after they were married, given that with only one or two children to look after, they had time to attend to other activities, such as furthering their education.

#### *Economic activities*

Most women in Amakiri are traders and farmers and have only a few years of primary school education. However, some have gone as far as secondary school or teacher's training and a few even have university degrees. We found that childless and subfertile women are more likely to be in this group, although many no longer reside in the community.

Farming is done on land owned by the patrilineages which cannot be privately owned. However, as daughters of these lineages women can acquire private houses and movable property through their own economic activities. Most women aspire to this and try to accumulate wealth to pass on to their own children in a polygynous situation or to have security in old age. Very few succeed, however. Those who do are more likely to be either childless or subfertile and the property they accumulate is the result of trading activities.

One activity related to economic well-being is attendance at family meetings. These are held for all extended family members with a depth of several generations, whether they live in Amakiri or elsewhere. Issues addressed include land disputes with neighbors, allocation of common family land, and the burial of kin. While infertile women are eligible to attend, those without children rarely do so and claim they are not regarded as equal members. This disadvantages them vis-à-vis other family members regarding the division of common resources, such as building plots and agricultural land. Subfertile women, however, are more likely to attend.

*Workload and foster children*

Nigerian households require many hands to function. Water must be fetched from a well, firewood for cooking must be collected in the forest, foodstuff harvested and carried home, then processed and cooked on a wood fire, washing done on the riverbank and compounds cleaned. It is virtually impossible for one person to accomplish all this alone, thus children are usually recruited for all menial tasks. Childless women, even if they live in a polygynous compound or in their father's home, have a serious disadvantage in performing these daily tasks. The recourse is to foster in relatives' children, whom they agree to raise and school in return for help with daily chores. This would appear to be an advantageous arrangement for all parties concerned: the childless woman receives not only help but the love and loyalty of a younger person and the children the care and attention of a devoted adult. In the long run, however, the relationship rarely turns out to be what the women have hoped for and it certainly does not alleviate the yearning for a child.



### *Old age*

Unless they have managed to accumulate property through their trading activities, the major concern of childless women is where to live and how to survive in their old age. As most of these women are divorced, they have no rights to live in their husbands' homes. They do have residence rights in their fathers' compounds as daughters of the family and this is where they usually end up. By the time they return to Amakiri, however, their fathers are frequently deceased and the women are at the mercy of their brothers and their wives. Very often they live in marginal conditions, in back rooms, uncared for and even maltreated. As we saw, their foster children usually abandon them and many are dependent on the goodwill of strangers for food and sustenance. Subfertile women do not fare much better unless they have a son. Even so, given the patrilocal residence pattern, it is difficult for the sons to accommodate their divorced mothers. It is even more difficult for the daughters who are married patrilocally elsewhere and have no rights to bring their mothers to live with them.

### *Lopon women's experiences*

#### *Marital relations and divorce*

Marriage is also a lengthy, elaborate process for most of our interview subjects in Lopon. Once it was discovered that the wife is unable to bear a child, the relationship often became strained. Most of these marital relations, however, were not as fraught as in Amakiri. Many women reported that their husbands loved them in spite of their infertility and even married them despite knowing of their fertility problems. Similarly, the

husbands' mothers continued to treat the childless or subfertile wives with more consideration than in Amakiri.

Polygamy is the most frequent result of the wife's inability to have (enough) children, but also seems to be far more frequent in the general population in Lupon than in Amakiri. In most cases, the wives get along with each other and help raise each other's children. The childless women are allowed (and asked) to participate fully in this. Women claimed that because the husbands of childless women tend toward polygyny, the women had to be nice to any additional wives and take the children of these women as their own.

Many of the marriages of barren or subfertile women do end in divorce. The divorce can be initiated by either the wife or the husband but rarely appear to be acrimonious. With very few exceptions, these women remarry and try to make a success of their new marriages. Women who do not remarry or who are between marriages in this community have a choice of residence. They can return to their father's compounds or move in with their mothers or other matrilineal relatives.

#### *Attaining womanhood*

One of the reasons for childless Lupon women's greater capacity to lead satisfactory lives is their ability to progress to womanhood, or the life stage of *sanen*, in spite of their barrenness. This stage is normally achieved through a combination of marriage and childbearing. By custom, after marriage, the bride relocated to the groom's household only upon becoming pregnant and the marriage ceremony was performed during pregnancy. Circumcision (*kukpol*) followed pregnancy, and after it was completed the woman's transition from childhood to adulthood was celebrated. The community

reserved particular rituals to help infertile women conceive and thus achieve full adult status. Instead of the *kukpol*, a special form of circumcision known as *kekpolpam* was performed for women who did not become pregnant, and included additional prayers and sacrifices offered to chase away their infecundity. Today circumcision is only rarely performed, but this custom suggests a more supportive social environment for infertile women in Lopon than in Amakiri. An unmarried woman today can become *sanen* if she is considered old enough, and none of our childless interview subjects complained about inability to partake in adult women's activities.

What does cause discomfort and pain for these women, especially the childless, is the annual celebration of the town's first fruit or harvest festival, the *leboku*, in August. The festival basically demonstrates the town's fundamental fertility ethos, which presents a difficult experience for infertile women. However, a community mechanism that helps alleviate some of this pain is the *kekonakona* society which serves as a support group for barren women and permits these women's participation in community life. Members of this group dance at the *leboku* and are blessed by the town's paramount chief. While membership in the society is reserved for descendants of particular matrilineal groups and the society today has all but died out, its existence symbolizes the fact that infertility is publicly acknowledged as a condition requiring support.

### *Migration*

As a consequence of the relatively lower stigma and of a wider array of possible living arrangements, including remarriage and staying with either patrilineal or matrilineal relatives, infertile women in Lopon tend not to leave the community with the same frequency as their counterparts in Amakiri. Whereas the life histories of the

childless Amakiri women are often histories of their moving from one place to another, from smaller towns to increasingly larger ones, Loapon women are less likely to leave their home town in the event of childlessness and divorce. Women do go elsewhere for schooling or to accompany their husbands, but none of the women we interviewed described having left Loapon on their own, whether for trade, medical treatment or any other reason. Consequently, the 30- to 50-year-old childless or subfertile women who are largely absent in Amakiri are present in Loapon where they manage to lead satisfactory, if not happy, lives.

#### *Economic activities*

Since they tend not to emigrate, childless or subfertile Loapon women generally perform the same types of work as their fertile peers. Many are farmers, teachers, seamstresses, hairdressers or petty traders. They use their earnings from these jobs primarily for everyday expenses and have little savings. Perhaps owing to the lack of emigration (leaving home to trade being Nigerian women's main strategy for wealth accumulation), none of the childless or subfertile women we interviewed in Loapon owned her own house or other buildings. Like their Amakiri counterparts Loapon women with few or no children also appear especially likely to further their educations.

#### *Foster children and adoption*

With the exception of two of our interview subjects who fostered their (ex-) husbands' children, all childless Loapon women fostered their sisters' children. While fostering is a common practice, as in Amakiri it also has its downside since foster children eventually leave. The solution that Loapon women found to this problem is adoption. While none of our Amakiri women even entertained the idea of adoption,

probably because of the difficulty of bringing a strange child into the patrilineage, this alternative seems to be accepted by the double unilineal Yakurr.

### *Old age*

Where to live in their old age is also a concern for Loapon women. Without a child to house and care for them, these women feel vulnerable since their husbands could ask them to leave at any time, and they have no right to remain in their husbands' compounds once the husbands die. They do have the right to move to their father's home and a majority of them do so. The major difference between Loapon and Amakiri, however, is that in Loapon childless women can also join their mother's compound and receive help from maternal relatives. A number of our informants expressed a preference for doing so, even while living in their paternal compounds, saying that they were closer to the mother's side. Another difference between Loapon and Amakiri is that due to the matrilineal connection, subfertile women with daughters can move in with maternal kin to be cared for in their old age.

The result of these alternative avenues for care and support in old age is that none of our interview subjects in Loapon expressed the same desperation and fear of old age as did our Amakiri subjects. Yet subfertile women did enjoy advantages over childless women in this respect. Even a woman with only one child typically expressed complete confidence in her future ability to depend on that child for support in old age. This was a type of security that childless women, even those who fostered in many children, could not articulate.

### *Survey analysis*

The analysis of childlessness included 124 pairs (childless versus fertile) in Amakiri and 142 pairs in Lopon, while the analysis of sub-fertility included 122 pairs and 138 pairs, respectively. In Amakiri, the childless women were aged 30 – 90 and the subfertile women were aged 31 -84, while in Lopon the two respective groups' members were aged 30 – 77.

### *Marital factors*

In both Amakiri and Lopon, childless women were significantly more likely than fertile women to be no longer married to their first husband and not to have a partner at survey date; these differentials were particularly pronounced in Lopon (Table 1 and Table 3). In contrast, childless and fertile women were not different in terms of having married a man who had other wives. Further, the remaining marriage variables were significant only in Lopon. For example, childless women were more likely to have been married twice or more, to have a husband who later married another wife and to report that both the husband and the wife would be justified in leaving a childless marriage. The discussed differentials in marital status were similar between subfertile and fertile women, although subfertile women were more likely to be in a higher-order marriage in both Amakiri and in Lopon (Table 2 and Table 4). In the multivariate analysis of marriage, no variable was significant in the model of childless women in Amakiri, while in Lopon the husband of a childless woman was more likely to marry another wife, OR = 7.61 (2.50 – 23.24). No variable was significant in the multivariate models subfertile in Amakiri and in Lopon.

Table 1, Table 2, Table 3 and Table 4 about here

*Interpersonal factors*

In both Amakiri and Lopon, there was no difference between childless and fertile women with respect to the ways the husband's mother treated them as a young married wife. However, in both communities childless women reported that the behavior of their mothers-in-law toward them changed once their infertility became apparent, OR = 5.58 (CI, 1.52 – 20.51) in Lopon, and the husbands' behavior toward them also changed. Further, women in Lopon reported that the number of children one has influenced how one was treated by the husband, his mother and his sister. Treatment by co-wives or neighbors was not affected by the number of children a woman had in either community (results not shown). Finally, in both communities subfertile women reported that the ways they were treated by the husband were influenced by the number of children they had. None of the variables in the interpersonal multivariate models was significant.

*Social and economic factors*

The level of education and other socio-economic variables were generally not different between childless and fertile women in Amakiri. In Lopon, childless women were significantly more likely to have above secondary education, OR = 3.89 (CV, 1.20 – 12.54). Childless women were also less likely to participate in family meetings or to have undergone *kukpol* and were more likely to say that having children makes a woman a different person, OR = 2.89 (CV 1.15 – 7.24). Women were significantly more likely to report having received material support from their foster children in Amakiri, OR = 3.07 (CV, 1.00 – 9.37), but not in Lopon. With respect to subfertility, in Amakiri subfertile

women were significantly more likely to have completed primary education than to have less than one year of schooling, and were more likely to have changed economic activities over time. In Lopon, subfertile women were more likely to report that they had more than primary education and they had “other” occupation than farming. Other variables in the socio-economic model were not significant. Finally, no variable was significant in the socio-economic multivariate model of childlessness in Amakiri, while in Lopon the socio-economic variables that were significant in the univariate analysis were generally also significant in the multivariate model. In the multivariate models of sub-fertility only one variable was significant: in Amakiri subfertile women reported that economic activities had changed, OR = 4.06 (CV, 1.39 – 11.85), while in Lopon subfertile women reported that economic activities had not changed OR = 5.42 (CV, 1.09 – 26.98). The difference between the two communities in this respect shows that, given their difficult situation, Amakiri subfertile women are more agentive in searching for other opportunities, either through schooling or through repeated in- and out- migration from the home community.

## **Discussion**

This paper had two aims: One, comparing the consequences of childlessness and sub-fertility on the lives of women in two communities with different institutional settings and perceptions of these conditions; and, two, examining the lives of childless and subfertile women within each community and comparing them to the lives of fertile women.



Concerning the first issue, we hypothesized that childlessness and sub-fertility would have more serious consequences for women in Amakiri than in Lopon. This was confirmed by qualitative interviews in which we found that Amakiri women without (enough) children have difficult marital relations, are ostracized by their husbands, mothers-in-law and co-wives and inevitably get divorced. Further, childless women cannot attain the status of mature women or join associations, and consequently the bulk of them migrate out of the community. Some manage to accumulate wealth or attain a higher level of education but most fear a lonely and marginalized old age.

In Lopon, women with fertility problems have similar issues, yet the impact is mitigated by the double unilineal descent system, which allows women to affiliate themselves with their matrilineal kin, and by the existence of associations and other institutions which openly support childless women.

Some of these findings are confirmed by the surveys. For example, we found that Amakiri women are less likely to be married than Lopon women to their first husbands. It was difficult, however, to distinguish significant differences between the lives of childless/subfertile and fertile women in Amakiri based on survey data, probably since most women in the former category between the ages of 30 and 50 were absent from the community, having migrated out to escape their marginalized situation. We argue that this indirectly confirms our hypothesis, although it makes the comparison difficult. It is also difficult to estimate how many women have left and of these, how many returned, or to evaluate the outcomes of these women's migrations, given that we have no data on the migrants who did not return. The amount of outmigration, however, suggests that it is

more painful to be childless in Amakiri than in Lophon where outmigration of childless and subfertile women is minimal.

The large-scale outmigration from Amakiri also demonstrates these women's agency. Although in Lophon childless or subfertile women may attain higher education, their economic activities have changed little over their lifetimes. Amakiri women with fertility problems, on the other hand, not only attain higher education and accumulate wealth, they also seem to constantly move and shift occupations.

Concerning the second issue, the comparison of the lives of the three categories of women (childless, subfertile and fertile), it is easier to make in Lophon, given that so many of the Amakiri childless and subfertile women between the ages of 30 and 50 are absent. Qualitative findings, however, confirm that in Amakiri the lives of childless women are extremely difficult. Subfertile women are in a somewhat better situation, given that they are able to attain womanhood, but they are still likely to be divorced and, unless they have sons, to be facing a lonely and marginalized old age. In Lophon, both qualitative and quantitative findings show that childless women are more likely than fertile women to have husbands who marry other wives, to be treated differently by their husbands and mothers-in-law and to forego *kukpol* (initiation into womanhood). While their lives are less difficult than those of their Amakiri counterparts, the consequences of low fertility and especially childlessness remain severe in this community as well.

In closing, despite community differences between the meanings and consequences of childlessness, as evidenced by this body of research and reaffirmed by our findings, childbearing and the achievement of motherhood represents a milestone for women in sub-Saharan Africa which confers on them an adult identity and represents the

normative fulfillment of what is considered female destiny. While sub-fertility may also present serious problems and result in divorce, ostracism, abandonment and often a lonely old age, the fact of having borne at least one child entitles the woman to join the ranks of mature women and participate in community life.

### **Acknowledgement**

This work was funded by the National Science Foundation. A previous version of this paper was presented at Medical Anthropology at the Intersections: Celebrating 50 Years of Interdisciplinarity at Yale University, September, 2009 and at the Annual Meeting of the Population Association of America, Dallas April, 2010.

## References

- Ademola, A.** (1982). Changes in the patterns of marriage and divorce in a Yoruba town. *Rural Africana*, 14, 1-24.
- Adetoro, O. O. & Ebomoyi, E. W.** (1991). The prevalence of infertility in a rural Nigerian Community. *African Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences*, 20, 23-27.
- Bledsoe, C.** (1990). The politics of children: Fosterage and the social management of fertility among the Mende of Sierra Leone. In: W. Penn Handwerker (ed.), *Births and Power: Social change and the Politics of Reproduction*. Boulder: Westview Press, pp. 197-223.
- Bledsoe, C., Hill, A.G., D'Allesandro, U. & Langerock, P.** (1994). Constructing natural fertility: The use of Western contraceptive technologies in rural Gambia. *Population and Development Review* 20:81-113.
- Boerma, J. T., & Mgalla, Z., eds.** (2001). *Women and infertility in Africa: a multidisciplinary perspective*. Amsterdam: Royal Tropical Institute Press.
- Collins, J.A., Wrixon, A., James, L.B. & Wilson, E.H.** (1983). Treatment-independent pregnancy among infertile couples. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 309:1201-1206.
- Ebin, V.** (1982). Interpretations of infertility: the Aowin People of Southwest Ghana. In C. MacCormack (Ed.), *Ethnography of Fertility and Birth* (pp. 147-159). London: Academic Press.
- Feldman-Salvesberg, P.** (1999). *Plundered Kitchens and Empty Wombs*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Forde, D.** (1964). *Yakö Studies*. London: Oxford University Press.
- Gerrits, Trudie (1997). Social and cultural aspects of infertility in Mozambique. *Patient Education and Counseling* 31:39-48
- Green, E.C.** (1994). *AIDS and Sexually Transmitted Disease in Africa: Bridging the gap between Traditional healing and modern medicine*. Boulder: Westview Press.
- Greenhalgh, S.** (1995). *Situating Fertility: Anthropology and Demographic Inquiry*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Ginsburg, F. & Rapp, R.** (1995). *Conceiving the New World Order: The Global Politics of Reproduction*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

- Hollos, M.** (2003). Profiles of infertility in Southern Nigeria: Women's voices from Amakiri. *African Journal of Reproductive Health*, 7, 46-56.
- Hollos, M. & Philip Leis** (1983) *Becoming Ijo in Nigerian Society*. New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press.
- Hollos, M. & Larsen, U.** (1992). Fertility differentials among the Ijo in Southern Nigeria: The role of women's education and place of residence. *Social Science & Medicine*, 35, 1199-1210.
- Hollos, M. & Larsen, U.** (2008). Motherhood in sub-Saharan Africa: The social consequences of infertility in an urban population in Northern Tanzania. *Culture, Health and Sexuality* 10:159-173.
- Hollos, M. & Whitehouse, B.** (2009) Modernity, (In) fertility and the modern female life course in two southern Nigerian communities. *Ethnology* 47(1): 23-43.
- Hollos, M., Larsen, U, Obono, O & Whitehouse, B. (2009). The problem of infertility in high fertility populations: Meanings, consequences and coping mechanisms in two Nigerian communities. *Social Science and Medicine* 68:2061-2068.
- Inhorn, M.** (1994). *Quest for Conception: Gender, Infertility and Egyptian Medical Traditions*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Inhorn, M.** (1996). *Infertility and Patriarchy: The Cultural Politics of Gender and Family Life in Egypt*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Inhorn, M. & van Balen, F.** eds. (2002). *Infertility Around the Globe: New Thinking on Childlessness, Gender, and Reproductive Technologies*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Johnson-Hanks, J.** (2006). *Uncertain Honor: Modern Motherhood in an African Crisis*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Kielman, K.**(1998). Barren ground: Contesting identities of infertile women in Pemba, Tanzania. In: Margaret Lock and Patricia Kaufert (eds). *Pragmatic Women and Body Politics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Leonard, L.** (2002). Problematizing fertility: "Scientific" accounts and Chadian women's narratives. In: Marcia Inhorn and Frank van Balen eds. *Infertility Around the Globe*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- McCurdy, S.** (2000). Transforming Associations: Fertility, Therapy and the Manyema Diaspora in Urban Kigoma, Tanzania, c. 1850-1993. Unpublished PhD. Dissertation, Columbia University.

- Obono, O. M.** (2001). Matriclan priests and pronatalism among the Yakurr of southeastern Nigeria. *African Population Studies*, 16, 15-42.
- Obono, O. M.** (2004). Life histories of infertile women in Ugep, Southern Nigeria. *African Population Studies*, 19, 63-88.
- Rowe, P. J., Comhaire, F. H., Hargreave, T. B. & Mellows, H.J.** (1993). *WHO Manual for the Standard Investigation and Diagnosis of the Infertile Couple*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Skramstad, H.** (1997). Coping with childlessness: The Kanyaleng Kafos in Gambia. IUSSP Seminar on Cultural Perspectives on Reproductive Health, Rustenburg, South Africa, June 16-19, 1997.
- Strauss, A. & Corbin, J.** (1990). *Basics of qualitative research: Grounded theory procedures and techniques*. Thousand Oaks, Calif.: Sage.
- Sundby, J. & Jacobus, A.** (2001). Health and traditional care for infertility in the Gambia and Zimbabwe. In: J. Ties Boerma and Zaida Mgalla (eds.). *Women and Infertility in sub-Saharan Africa*. Royal Tropical Institute - The Netherlands.
- Suggs, D.** (1993). Female status and role transition in the Tswana life cycle. In D. Suggs & A. Miracle (Eds.), *Culture and Human Sexuality* (pp. 103-117). Pacific Grove: Brooks Cole Publishing.
- Upton, R.** (1999). "Our Blood does not Agree": Negotiating Infertility in Northern Botswana. PhD Dissertation, Brown University, Department of Anthropology.

Table 1. The associations between marriage, interpersonal and socio-economic factors and childlessness versus having five or more children in Amakiri in 2007

Variable	Sample size (%)	% Childless	p-value	Univariate OR (95% CI)	Multivariate OR (95% CI)
<b>Marriage:</b>					
Married to first husband			0.01		
Yes	69 (54.3)	23.2		1.00	1.00
No	58 (45.7)	46.6		2.61 (1.14-5.94)	2.44 (0.86 – 6.90)
<b>Current marital status</b>					
Married	93 (74.4)	28.0	0.01	1.00	1.00
Has no partner	32 (25.6)	53.1		2.03 (1.11-3.72)	1.47 (0.73 – 2.98)
<b>Times married</b>					
Once	94 (73.4)	30.9	0.28	1.00	
Twice or more	34 (26.6)	41.2		1.55 (0.67-3.61)	
<b>At first marriage did your husband have another wife?</b>					
Yes	39 (30.7)	28.2	0.37	1.00	
No	88 (69.3)	36.4		1.68 (0.70-4.05)	
<b>Did your husband later marry another wife?</b>					
Yes	50 (40.0)	38.0	0.31	1.00	
No	75 (60.0)	29.3		0.69 (0.30-1.56)	
<b>Would the husband be justified in leaving his wife, if they were unable to have children?</b>					
Yes	34 (27.0)	35.3	0.69	1.00	
No	92 (73.0)	31.5		0.95 (0.41-2.18)	
<b>Would the wife be justified in leaving her husband, if they were unable to have children?</b>					
Yes	39 (30.7)	33.3	0.97	1.00	
No	88 (69.3)	33.0		1.18 (0.55-2.55)	

---

Interpersonal <sup>1</sup> :					
How did your husband's mother treat you as a young married wife?					
			0.29		
• Helpful to you?					
Yes	91 (87.5)	28.6		1.00	
No	12 (11.5)	33.3		1.07 (0.49-2.35)	
• Did you quarrel?			0.88		
Yes	11 (11.1)	27.3		1.00	
No	88 (88.9)	30.0		1.04 (0.25-4.27)	
• Did she change how she treated you?			0.04		
Yes	10 (10.5)	6.3		4.93 (0.91 -26.72)	3.12 (0.51 - 19.12)
No	85 (89.5)	25.3		1.00	1.00
How did your husband treat you as a young married wife?					
• Did you quarrel?			0.56		
Yes	15 (11.6)	40.0		1.00	
No	114 (88.4)	32.5		0.77 (0.23-2.64)	
• Did he abuse you?			0.21		
Yes	12 (9.4)	50.0		1.00	
No	116 (90.6)	31.9		0.42 (0.12-1.53)	
Did husband change how he treated you?			0.05		
Yes	43 (34.4)	44.2		2.22 (1.01 – 4.85)	2.14 (0.69 – 6.64)
No	82 (65.6)	26.8		1.00	1.00
The number of children you have does that influence the way you are treated by your					
• Husband?			0.04		
Yes	14 (18.4)	35.7		1.00	
No	62 (81.6)	12.9		0.76 (0.10-5.51)	
• Husband's mother?			0.33		
Yes	14 (23.7)	21.4		1.00	
No	45 (76.3)	11.1		0.39 (0.03-4.44)	
• Husband's sister?			0.74		
Yes	12 (18.2)	16.7		1.00	
No	54 (81.8)	13.0		1.62 (0.14-18.31)	



---

Socio-economic:					
Education in years			0.21		
< 1	39 (29.8)	28.2		0.29 (0.08 – 1.02)	
1 – 6 (primary)	40 (30.5)	42.5		1.00	
7 – 12 (secondary)	18 (13.7)	16.7		0.29 (0.06 – 1.47)	
Above secondary	34 (26.0)	38.2		0.81 (0.33 – 1.98)	
What economic activities do you do today?			0.88		
Trading	54 (44.3)	29.6		1.00	
Farming	33 (27.1)	30.3		0.70 (0.27-1.83)	
Teaching	6 (4.9)	33.3		1.10 (0.17 7.22)	
Other	32 (23.8)	37.9		1.06 (0.43-2.61)	
Did your economic activities change over time?			0.36		
Yes	26(26.0)	42.3		1.00	
No	74 (74.0)	32.4		0.33 (0.11 – 0.98)	
Do you participate in family meetings?			0.28		
Yes	99 (76.2)	31.3		1.00	
No	31 (23.9)	41.9		1.53 (0.65-3.61)	
Do you own personal property?			0.18		
Yes	34 (27.2)	41.2		1.00	1:00
No	91 (72.8)	28.6		0.76 (0.33-1.75)	0.49 (0.16- 1.46)
Are you circumcised?			0.72		
Yes	115 (87.8)	33.0		1.00	
No	16 (12.2)	37.5		1.38 (0.44-4.37)	
Did you do your seigbein?			0.32		
Yes	46 (36.8)	39.1		1.00	
No	79 (63.2)	30.4		0.69 (0.25-1.94)	
Do you participate in a woman's association?			0.55		
Yes	92 (72.4)	31.5		1.00	
No	35 (27.6)	37.1		1.33 (0.55-3.24)	
Does having children make a woman a different person?			0.41		
Yes	116 (92.8)	31.0		1.00	
No	9 (7.2)	44.4		1.20 (0.31-4.67)	
Cared for non-biological children			0.86		
Yes	86 (67.7)	32.6		1.00	
No	41 (32.3)	34.2		1.45 (0.58-3.63)	

---

---

Do you receive anything from children you have cared for?			0.03	
Yes	28 (31.8)	50.0	3.07 (1.00 – 9.37)	3.08 0.99 – 9.55)
No	60 (68.2)	26.7	1.00	1.00

---

1 Did not include any of the variables within the group of variables “The number of children you have does that influence the way you are treated by your” in the multivariate model. Model did not converge when these variables were included.

Table 2. The associations between marital, interpersonal and socio-economic factors and women with one or two children versus women with five or more children in Amakiri in 2007

Variable	Sample size (%)	% Childless	p-value	Univariate OR (95% CI)	Multivariate OR (95% CI)
<b>Marriage:</b>					
Married to first husband			0.002		
Yes	70 (57.4)	22.9		1.00	1.00
No	52 (42.6)	50.0		4.08 (1.62-10.31)	1.68 (0.36 – 7.87)
Current marital status			0.57		
Married	100 (82.6)	35.0		1.00	
Has no partner	21 (17.4)	28.6		1.03 (0.71-1.50)	
Times married			<0.0001		
Once	85 (69.7)	23.5		1.00	1.00
Twice or more	37 (30.3)	56.8		5.77 (1.92-17.37)	4.18 (0.69 -25.35)
At first marriage did your husband have another wife?			0.009		
Yes	32 (26.0)	15.6		1.00	1.00
No	90 (73.2)	41.1		3.21 (1.06-9.72)	3.53 (1.00 – 12.41)
Did your husband later marry another wife?			0.56		
Yes	44 (36.4)	36.4		1.00	
No	77 (63.6)	31.2		0.65 (0.25-1.65)	
Would the husband be justified in leaving his wife, if they were unable to have children?			0.74		
Yes	29 (23.8)	31.0		1.00	
No	93 (76.2)	34.4		1.14 (0.46-2.79)	
Would the wife be justified in leaving her husband, if they were unable to have children?			0.97		
Yes	36 (29.5)	33.3		1.00	
No	86 (70.5)	33.7		1.07 (0.47-2.44)	

---

 Interpersonal:

How did your husband's mother treat you as a young married wife?

• Helpful to you?			0.46		
Yes	96 (91.4)	34.4		1.00	
No	9 (8.6)	22.2		0.34 (0.04-3.10)	
• Did you quarrel?			0.45		
Yes	9 (8.9)	22.2		1.00	
No	92 (91.1)	34.8		2.41 (0.26-22.5)	
• Did she change how she treated you?			0.44		
Yes	5 (5.2)	20.0		1.00	
No	92 (94.9)	37.0		1.62 (0.14-18.31)	

How did your husband treat you as a young married wife?

• Did you quarrel?			0.84		
Yes	13 (10.6)	30.8		1.00	
No	110 (89.4)	33.6		1.14 (0.32-4.14)	
• Did he abuse you?			0.99		
Yes	9 (7.4)	33.3		1.00	
No	113 (92.6)	33.6		1.07 (0.24-4.66)	

Did husband change how he treated you?

			0.07		
Yes	38 (31.7)	44.7		1.93 (0.79 – 4.75)	1.61 (0.61 – 4.27)
No	82 (68.3)	28.1		1.00	1.00

The number of children you have does that influence the way you are treated by your

• Husband?			0.01		
Yes	15 (17.2)	60.0		3.11 (1.07 – 9.06)	1.59 (0.45 – 5.68)
No	72 (82.8)	26.4		1.00	1.00
• Husband's mother?			0.30		
Yes	13 (18.3)	46.2		1.00	
No	58 (81.7)	31.0		0.46 (0.11-1.95)	
• Husband's sister?			0.006		
Yes	18 (22.0)	61.1		4.03 (1.35 – 12.03)	2.96 (0.85 – 10.31)
No	64 (78.1)	26.6		1.00	1.00

---

---

Socio-economic:					
Education in years			0.01		
< 1	30 (24.0)	10.0		0.20 (0.04 – 0.98)	0.25 (0.03 – 2.39)
1 – 6 (primary)	34 (27.2)	35.3		1.00	1.00
7 – 12 (secondary)	26 (20.8)	38.5		1.01 (0.35 – 3.05)	1.40 (0.38 – 5.18)
Above secondary	35 (28.0)	48.6		1.53 (0.61-3.81)	1.33 (0.44 – 4.07)
What economic activities do you do today?			0.51		
Trading	57 (47.5)	36.8		1.77 (0.62-5.05)	
Farming	27 (22.5)	22.2		1.00	
Teaching	7 (5.8)	42.9		2.21 (0.38-12.9)	
Other	29 (24.2)	37.9		1.70 (0.51-5.67)	
Did your economic activities change over time?			0.001		
Yes	32 (32.7)	59.4		4.79 (1.73 – 13.25)	4.06 (1.39 – 11.85)
No	66 (67.4)	25.8		1.00	1.00
Do you participate in family meetings?			0.53		
Yes	97 (78.9)	32.0		1.00	
No	26 (21.1)	38.5		1.10 (0.45-2.77)	
Do you own personal property?			0.91		
Yes	28 (23.1)	32.1		1.00	
No	93 (76.9)	33.3		1.02 (0.39-2.67)	
Are you circumcised?			0.19		
Yes	113 (90.4)	35.4		1.00	1.00
No	12 (9.6)	16.7		0.42 (0.09-1.94)	0.73 (0.12 – 4.46)
Did you do your segbein?			0.37		
Yes	41 (34.5)	39.0		1.00	
No	78 (65.6)	30.8		0.24 (0.07-0.79)	
Do you participate in a woman's association?			0.52		
Yes	94 (77.1)	35.1		1.00	
No	28 (23.0)	28.6		0.90 (0.34-2.410)	
Does having children make a woman a different person?			0.49		
Yes	114 (92.7)	33.3		1.00	
No	9 (7.3)	44.4		1.44 (0.30-6.92)	

---

---

Cared for non-biological children?			0.43	
Yes	79 (64.2)	31.7		1.00
No	44 (35.8)	38.6		1.31 (0.61-2.80)
Do you receive any gifts from children you have taken care of?			0.32	
Yes	18 (22.8)	22.2		1.00
No	61 (77.2)	34.4		2.16 (0.41-11.25)

---

Table 3. The associations between marital, interpersonal and socio-economic factors and childlessness versus having five or more children in Lopon in 2007

Variable	Sample size (%)	% Childless	p-value	Univariate OR (95% CI)	Multivariate OR (95% CI)
Marriage <sup>1</sup> :					
Married to first husband			<0.0001	1.00	1.00
Yes	89 (62.7)	32.6			
No	53 (37.3)	67.9		6.66 (2.53-17.57)	2.26 (0.28 -18.40)
Current marital status			<0.0001	1.00	1.00
Married	106 (75.2)	32.6			
Has no partner	26 (18.4)	76.5		3.19 (1.73 -5.89)	1.46 (0.52 – 4.07)
Times married			0.001	1.00	1.00
Once	109 (77.3)	38.5			
Twice or more	32 (22.7)	71.9		4.47 (1.65-12.11)	1.36 (0.20 -9.49)
At first marriage did your husband have another wife?			0.73	1.00	1.00
Yes	30 (21.3)	43.3			
No	111 (78.7)	46.9		1.00 (0.44-2.25)	
Did your husband later marry another wife?			<0.0001	7.88 (3.01-20.65)	7.61 (2.50-23.24)
Yes	46 (34.1)	76.1			
No	89 (65.9)	28.1		1.00	1.00
Would the husband be justified in leaving his wife, if they were unable to have children?			0.002	4.48 (1.47 – 13.66)	3.88(0.52 – 28.74)
Yes	21 (14.9)	76.2			
No	120 (85.1)	40.0		1.00	1.00
Would the wife be justified in leaving her husband, if they were unable to have children?			0.005	4.22 (1.37-12.97)	1.00
Yes	20 (14.4)	75.0			
No	119 (85.6)	41.2		1.00	

Interpersonal<sup>2</sup>:

How did your husband's mother treat you as a young married wife?

			0.30		
• Helpful to you?					
Yes	127 (95.5)	44.9		1.00	
No	6 (4.5)	66.7		1.45 (0.22-9.56)	
• Did you quarrel?			0.09		
No	12 (9.8)	25.0		1.00	1.00
Yes	111 (90.2)	50.5		2.99 (0.61-14.60)	4.33 (0.46 -40.48)
• Did she change the way she treated you?			0.01		
No	17 (13.6)	76.5		1.00	1.00
Yes	108 (86.4)	43.5		5.58 (1.52-20.51)	0.22 (0.04 – 1.25)

How did your husband treat you as a young married wife?

• Did you quarrel?			0.02		
No	19 (15.1)	21.1		1.00	1.00
Yes	107 (84.9)	49.5		3.18 (0.86-11.80)	1.88 (0.21 –16.82)
• Did he abuse you?			0.15		
No	6 (4.8)	16.7		1.00	1.00
Yes	119 (95.2)	47.1		3.14 (0.34-28.60)	0.78 (0.04 –16.85)

Did husband change how he treated you?

			0.05		
No	51 (35.9)	56.9		1.00	1.00
Yes	91 (64.1)	39.6		2.24 (1.03 – 4.84)	1.57 (0.56 – 4.35)

The number of children you have does that influence the way you are treated by your

• Husband?			0.0001		
No	20 (17.5)	75.0		1.00	
Yes	94 (82.5)	28.7		13.87 (1.83-105.27)	
• Husband's mother?			0.0001		
No	17 (17.7)	76.5		1.00	
Yes	79 (82.3)	30.4		12.16 (1.55-95.54)	
• Husband's sister?			0.008		
No	17 (17.7)	64.7		1.00	
Yes	79 (82.3)	30.4		5.27 (1.17-23.84)	



---

Socio-economic:					
Education in years			0.05		
< 1	46 (32.4)	43.5		1.33 (0.49 – 3.60)	0.80 (0.21 – 3.06)
1 – 6 (Primary)	36 (25.4)	36.1		1.00	1.00
7 – 12 (Secondary)	23 (16.2)	34.8		1.14 (0.35-3.70)	0.11 (0.01 – 1.13)
Above secondary	37 (26.1)	64.9		3.89 (1.20 –12.54)	7.98 (0.62 –102)
What economic activities do you do today?			0.002		
Trading	25 (17.6)	44.0		1.00	1.00
Farming	74 (52.1)	36.5		0.88 (0.34-2.31)	1.32 (0.29 – 6.10)
Teaching	21 (14.8)	52.4		2.14 (0.60-7.61)	0.20 (0.02 – 2.41)
Other	17 (12.0)	88.2		8.99 (1.71-47.16)	88.77 (2.64-2989)
Did your economic activities change over time?			0.008		
Yes	30 (24.6)	26.7		1.00	
No	92 (75.4)	54.4		4.20 (1.38 – 12.84)	
Do you participate in family meetings?			0.03		
Yes	111 (78.7)	40.5		1.00	1.00
No	30 (21.3)	63.3		2.78 (1.10-7.06)	8.55 (1.72 –42.62)
Do you own personal property?			0.40		
Yes	14 (10.0)	35.7		1.00	
No	126 (90.0)	47.6		1.53 (0.38 - 6.13)	
Are you circumcised?			0.18		
Yes	52 (36.6)	38.5		1.00	1.00
No	90 (63.4)	50.0		1.29 (0.62-2.68)	0.35 (0.03 – 4.04)
Did you do your kukpol?			0.005		
Yes	64 (45.1)	32.8		1.00	1.00
No	78 (54.9)	56.4		2.39 (1.03-5.88)	6.59 (1.41 –30.77)
Do you participate in a woman's association?			0.05		
Yes	103 (73.6)	40.8		1.00	1.00
No	37 (26.4)	59.5		1.74 (0.80-3.79)	0.53 (0.17 – 1.66)
Does having children make a woman a different person?			0.02		
Yes	114 (82.6)	40.4		1.00	1.00
No	24 (17.4)	66.7		2.89 (1.15-7.24)	8.99 (1.80 –44.99)
Cared for non-biological children			0.71		
Yes	85 (59.9)	47.1		1.00	
No	57 (40.1)	43.9		0.76 (0.37-1.58)	

---

---

Do you receive anything from children you have cared for <sup>3</sup> ?			0.12
Yes	29 (34.1)	58.6	1.00
No	56 (65.9)	41.1	0.39 (0.09-1.64)

---

- 1 The multivariate model for marriage did not converge when it simultaneously included the two variables “Would the husband be justified in leaving his wife, if they were unable to have children?” and “Would the wife be justified in leaving her husband, if they were unable to have children?”. The multivariate models were almost identical whether they included one or the other variable.
- 2 Did not include any of the variables within the group of variables “The number of children you have does that influence the way you are treated by your” in the multivariate model. Model did not converge when these variables were included.
- 3 The multivariate model for socio-economic factors did not converge when this variable was included.

Table 4. The associations between marital, interpersonal and socio-economic factors and women with one or two children versus women with five or more children in Lopon in 2007

Variable	Sample size (%)	% Childless	p-value	Univariate OR (95% CI)	Multivariate OR (95% CI)
<b>Marriage:</b>					
Married to first husband			0.0001		
Yes	92 (68.7)	21.7		1.00	1.00
No	42 (31.3)	52.4		5.95 (2.17-16.34)	2.11 (0.48 - 9.28)
Current marital status			0.36		
Married	116 (87.2)	30.2		1.00	
Has no partner	17 (12.8)	41.2		1.17 (0.79-1.74)	
Times married			0.001		
Once	104 (78.8)	24.0		1.00	1.00
Twice or more	28 (21.2)	57.1		9.35 (2.65-32.94)	3.25 (0.53 – 20.22)
At first marriage did your husband have another wife?			0.50		
Yes	30 (22.6)	36.7		1.00	
No	103 (77.4)	30.1		0.74 (0.32-1.71)	
Did your husband later marry another wife?			0.0001		
Yes	34 (25.0)	61.8		4.49 (1.93 -10.44)	2.45 (0.93 – 6.49)
No	102 (75.0)	24.5		1.00	1.00
Would the husband be justified in leaving his wife, if they were unable to have children?			0.08		
Yes	15 (10.9)	53.3		1.00	1.00
No	123 (89.1)	30.9		0.46 (0.16-1.32)	0.87 (0.18 – 4.35)
Would the wife be justified in leaving her husband, if they were unable to have children?			0.52		
Yes	12 (8.9)	41.7		1.00	
No	123 (91.1)	32.5		0.51 (0.15-1.73)	

Interpersonal<sup>1</sup>:

How did your husband's mother treat you as a young married wife?

• Helpful to you?			0.10		
Yes	116 (94.3)	27.6		1.00	1.00
No	7 (5.7)	57.1		2.82 (0.61-13.10)	2.56 (0.55 – 11.99)
• Did you quarrel?			0.07		
Yes	23 (20.4)	47.8		1.00	1.00
No	90 (79.7)	27.8		0.59 (0.21-1.66)	0.81 (0.25 – 2.61)
• Did she change the way she treated you?			0.38		
Yes	12 (10.5)	41.7		1.00	
No	102 (89.5)	29.4		0.83 (0.21-3.22)	

How did your husband treat you as a young married wife?

• Did you quarrel?			0.37		
Yes	33 (26.6)	39.4		1.00	
No	91 (73.4)	30.8		0.65 (0.26-1.63)	
• Did he abuse you?			0.68		
Yes	13 (10.6)	38.5		1.00	
No	110 (89.4)	32.7		0.79 (0.22-2.91)	
• Did your husband change the way he treated you?			1.00		
Yes	48 (34.8)	33.3		1.00	
No	90 (65.2)	33.3		1.06 (0.49 – 2.28)	

The number of children you have does that influence the way you are treated by your

• Husband?			0.004		
Yes	18 (14.2)	61.1		1.00	
No	109 (85.8)	26.6		0.20 (0.06-0.67)	
• Husband's mother?			0.006		
Yes	12 (11.2)	66.7		1.00	
No	95 (88.8)	27.4		0.33 (0.08-1.40)	
• Husband's sister?			0.24		
Yes	16 (14.3)	43.8		1.00	
No	96 (85.7)	29.2		0.84 (0.23-3.11)	

Socio-economic:					
Education			0.006		
< 1	37 (27.0)	24.3		1.14 (0.37-3.58)	0.44 (0.08 - 2.43)
1 – 6 (Primary)	40 (29.2)	17.5		1.00	1.00
7 – 12 (Secondary)	31 (22.6)	45.2		3.25 (1.07-9.86)	1.55 (0.26 – 9.24)
Above secondary	29 (21.2)	51.7		3.53 (1.22-10.25)	1.77 (0.12 – 26.92)
What economic activities do you do today?			0.03		
Trading	30 (22.9)	40.0		1.22 (0.46-3.25)	0.30 (0.06 – 1.52)
Farming	74 (56.5)	24.3		1.00	1.00
Teaching	15 (11.5)	33.3		1.19 (0.36-4.01)	0.46 (0.03 – 8.06)
Other	12 (9.2)	66.7		5.59 (1.39-22.53)	1.90 (0.09 - 40.91)
Did your economic activities change over time			0.11		
Yes	35 (32.1)	20.0		1.00	1.00
No	74 (67.9)	35.1		3.06 (0.83- 11.27)	5.42 (1.09 – 26.98)
Do you participate in family meetings?			0.61		
Yes	109 (82.6)	29.4		1.00	
No	23 (17.4)	34.8		1.11 (0.38 – 3.20)	
Do you own personal property?			0.95		
Yes	15 (11.1)	33.3		1.00	
No	120 (88.9)	34.3		1.02 (0.31 – 3.36)	
Are you circumcised?			0.71		
Yes	51 (38.1)	29.4		1.00	
No	83 (61.9)	32.5		1.11 (0.50 - 2.44)	
Did you do your kukpol?			0.10		
Yes	65 (48.5)	24.6		1.00	1.00
No	69 (51.5)	37.7		1.77 (0.78 - 4.01)	1.85 (0.50 – 6.85)
Do you participate in a woman's association?			0.85		
Yes	110 (88.9)	32.7		1.00	
No	26 (19.1)	34.6		0.82 (0.34 – 2.00)	
Does having children make a woman a different person?			0.37		
Yes	120 (88.2)	32.5		1.00	
No	16 (11.8)	43.8		1.52 (0.47-4.89)	
Cared for non-biological children?			.94		
Yes	74 (55.2)	31.1		1.00	
No	60 (44.8)	31.7		0.85 (0.39-1.84)	

---

Do you receive any gifts from children you have taken care of?			0.21
Yes	20 (27.0)	20.0	1.00
No	54 (73.0)	35.2	0.96 (0.24-3.73)

---

- 1 Did not include any of the variables within the group of variables “The number of children you have does that influence the way you are treated by your” in the multivariate model. Model did not converge when these variables were included.